



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP
1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE)
41 ST FL.
NEW YORK NY 10036-2714

COPY MAILED

APR 13 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
ADACHI : DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 10/802,922 :
Filed: March 18, 2004 :
Atty. Dkt. No.: M1071.1900 :

This decision is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.181
filed March 15, 2006.

This application was held abandoned January 12, 2006, for
failure to timely file a proper response to the Notice of
Allowance (Notice) mailed October 11, 2005. The Notice action
set a three month statutory period for reply. This decision
precedes Notice of Abandonment.

Petitioner argues that the Notice mailed October 11, 2005 was
not received at the correspondence address of record for the
instant application.

In the absence of any irregularity in the mailing of the Office
communication, there is a strong presumption that the Office
communication was properly mailed to practitioner at the address of
record. This presumption may be overcome by a showing that the
Office communication was not in fact received. The showing required
to establish non-receipt of an Office communication must include a
statement from the practitioner stating that the Office
communication was not received by the practitioner and attesting to
the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records
indicates that the Office communication was not received. A copy of
the docket record where the non-received Office communication would
have been entered had it been received and docketed must be
attached to and referenced in practitioner's statement. See, MPEP
711.03(c). The showing outlined above may not be sufficient if
there are circumstances that point to a conclusion that the Office
communication may have been lost after receipt rather than a
conclusion that the Office communication was lost in the mail.

In support of the petition, petitioner has provided a copy of the
docket record where the Office communication would have been

entered had it been received along with a statement from practitioner that a search of the docket record and file jacket indicated that the Office communication was not received.

In view thereof, the petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment is hereby GRANTED.

The Notice of Abandonment is hereby VACATED and the holding of abandonment is WITHDRAWN.

This application is being directed to Technology Center 1700 for re-mailing of the Notice.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3205.



Alesia M. Brown
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions